

**NOTICE OF THE IMPEACHMENT AGAINST  
BOGGIANO  
JUSTICE AND EMERITUS  
PRESIDENT OF THE SUPREME COURT OF  
ARGENTINA**  
By his co-defenders

On September 15th 2004, the Chamber of Deputies of National Congress started proceeding of impeachment against Justice Boggiano.

On October 21<sup>st</sup> and November 1<sup>st</sup> Justice Boggiano contested the charges.

On December 16<sup>th</sup> Deputies Chamber formally accused Justice Boggiano. Such accusation passed to the Senate as the Tribunal to judge the accusations.

Senate allowed a defense of Justice Boggiano who made it on 22th June 2005. On the same day the Senate heard the defense and decided to suspend the Justice.

Justice Boggiano appealed that suspension to the Supreme Court contending the validity of the proceedings and the suspension itself.

The Supreme Court restituted Justice Boggiano to the Court and allowed the appeal against the validity of the proceeding on September 27<sup>th</sup> 2005.

Nevertheless, on the following day September 28<sup>th</sup>, disregarding the Supreme Court Judgement of September 27<sup>th</sup>, Senate removed and incapacitated Justice Boggiano, who once more appealed such a dismissal and incapacitation sustaining again the invalidity of the proceedings and the invalidity of the dismissal and incapacitation.

The first appeal contended the validity of the proceedings before both Deputies and Senate.

This contention is still pending (2006).

The second appeal against the dismissal is still pending (2006).

Justice Inda, who had given his vote for Boggiano's restitution, Court Judgement on September 27<sup>th</sup> 2005, was suspended by the Magistrate Council and therefore excluded of the competent Court to decide Boggiano's case finally. It was alleged that Justice Inda had himself incurred in a cause of impeachment in the Margarita Belen case, which took place 20 years ago.

Similar scandal occurred, according to different media, when also Justice Lezana, also favoring Boggiano's restitution on September 27<sup>th</sup> 2005 was himself subject to impeachment preventing him to take part in the Boggiano's final appeal.

Dismissal and incapacitation, were both decided ONLY ON THE BASIS OF CRITICISM MADE BY THE ACCUSATION OF ONE OF JUSTICE BOGGIANO'S JUDGEMENTS IN A SO CALLED "MELLER" CASE.

A book has been published by the defenders of Justice Boggiano containing the accusations and the defenses of Justice Boggiano. See Maria Angélica Gelly and Marcelo Sancinetti, *Juicio político al Juez Antonio Boggiano*.

A copy of this book is sent to you by private courier. Public Post not trusted. Tyranny emerging: there is an actual risk that the seat of Justice Boggiano be filled or the number of the Justices of the Court be reduced from 9 to 7 without waiting for the final judgement of the Supreme Court on the validity of the proceedings, the dismissal and incapacitation of Justice Boggiano.

Final judgement of the Supreme Court Supreme on Justice Boggiano's appeal against dismissal is expected by the end of may, in an unprecedented long trial of impeachment.